## HIGHWAY 7\&8

## Welcome

## to Public Information Centre (PIC) \#5

## Highway $7 \& 8$ Transportation Corridor Planning and Class Environmental Assessment Study

Wednesday, July 25, 2012
Shakespeare \& District Optimist Hall
3976 Galt Street, Shakespeare

Thursday, July 26, 2012
Stratford Rotary Complex, Community Hall B
353 McCarthy Road, Stratford
5:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.
Brief presentations at 5:30 p.m. and 7:30 p.m.

Wednesday, August 15, 2012
Wilmot Recreation Complex
1291 Nafziger Road, Baden

## Welcome!

## AECOM

- Please sign in.
- Please indicate if you would like your name to be added to the study mailing list to receive updates and information regarding the study and invitations to future public involvement events in your area.
- Comment sheets are available to record your comments and suggestions.
- Materials available tonight:
- PIC reference materials - study reports / plans, background materials
- Handouts - study newsletter

Public Information Centres (PICs) are held at key stages of the Class Environmental Assessment (EA) Study. PICs are held to provide stakeholders with the opportunity to be engaged in the process through interaction with the study team and the submission of comments.

## Purpose of PIC \#5

## AECOM

- Provide update on Highway $7 \& 8$ Transportation Corridor Planning Study
- Provide update on Study Process and Schedule
- Present and obtain information and input on:
- Preliminary Design Alternatives
- Evaluation Process and Criteria to be used to identify a preferred Preliminary Design plan
- Next steps in the EA process
- The above noted material is draft and subject to change as a result of new information and comments provided by stakeholders. Following the review period, all comments received will be considered in finalizing the draft material.


## Overview of Study Process



## Minimum Review Periods for Study Reports

## 60-day Review Period for Milestone Reports

- Report A: Study Plan for Technical Work, Outreach and Consultation
- Report D: Area Transportation System Alternatives
- Report E: Transportation Corridor Needs Assessment
- Report H: Selection of Detailed/Route Planning Alternatives for Provincial Roadway
- Report J: Selection of Preliminary/Concept Design Alternatives for Provincial Roadway
- Report K: Transportation Environmental Study Report
- Repork Transpotation Environ


## 30-day Review Period for Working Papers

- Report B: Overview of Transportation, Land Use and Economic Conditions within Analysis Area
- Report C: Area Transportation System Problems and Opportunities
- Report F: Environmental Conditions and Constraints
- Report G: Generation of Detailed/Route Planning Alternatives for Provincial Roadway
- Report I: Generation of Provincial Roadway Preliminary Design Alternatives


## Outreach and Consultation

## AECOM

Outreach and Consultation are a major component of the Study.

## Study Background

## AECOM

## Transportation, Land Use and Economic Conditions in Analysis Area (PIC \#1)

- Comprehensive overview of existing conditions
- Identification of transportation problems and opportunities
- Identification of environmental conditions and constraints



## Generation and Assessment of Area Transportation System Alternatives (PIC \#2)

- Individual transportation planning alternatives do not address identified problems and opportunities

- Two combination alternatives carried forward for further review
- Combination Alternative 3 (transportation demand management (ridesharing / telecommuting) plus transit plus widen existing Highway 7\&8)
- Combination Alternative 4 (transportation demand management (ridesharing / telecommuting) plus transit plus local by-passes or new highway corridor)


Combination Alternatives

- Note: With respect to potential transit improvements, GO Transit is planning to extend rail service to the KitchenerWaterloo area, with a layover site for trains located in Baden. VIA Rail has also indicated they have future plans to increase their rail service within the existing railway corridor south of Highway $7 \& 8$.


## Study Background contid..

## Corridor Alternatives (PIC \#2B / \#2C / \#3)

- Long List of Corridor Alternatives
- Screening Process (to screen out significantly less desirable corridors)
- Short List of Corridor Alternatives
- Refinements to Factors, Sub-Factors, Criteria and Indicators
- Comparative Evaluation of Short List of Corridor Alternatives
- Preferred Corridor Alternative


Preferred Corridor Alternative including area of expanded review of Shakespeare Route Alternatives

- Expanded Corridor in Shakespeare Area (alignment alternatives re-examined on a "route" rather than a "corridor" basis)

Route
Route Alternatives (PIC \#3 I \#3B)

- Route Alternatives generated for various sections of Preferred Corridor

- Broader range of Route Alternatives generated for Shakespeare Area
- Refinements to Factors, Sub-Factors, Criteria and Indicators for route selection



## Study Background contd...

## Preferred Route Alternative (PIC \#4)

- Confirmation of Route Alternatives
- Assessment and Evaluation of Route Alternatives
- Identification of Preferred Route Alternative


Preferred Route Alternative

## Agricultural Operations Questionnaire (Jan / Feb 2012)

- Objective: to obtain more specific information from agricultural producers to aid in the development of Preliminary Design Alternatives
- Three main components to questionnaire
- Individual / property specific information
- Travel routes across Highway 7\&8
- Travel routes along Highway 7\&8
- 55 completed questionnaires received



## Preliminary Design

## AECOM

Preliminary design involves defining the selected route in greater detail, including:

- Horizontal and vertical alignments
- Roadway cross section
- Right-of-way width / property requirements
- Crossing road treatments (interchanges; grade separations; signalized and unsignalized intersections; roundabouts)
- Drainage requirements (watercourse crossings, municipal drainage / tile drainage modifications, and a preliminary stormwater management strategy)
- Roadway lighting requirements
- Access management (number and location of intersections and private entrances)
- Mitigation measures (e.g. environmental protection)


## Preliminary Design contid..

## AECOM

Preliminary Design Alternatives are generated when more than one method of implementing the proposed improvements is available with the objectives of capitalizing on transportation engineering opportunities, avoiding significant environmental features and/or minimizing design-related environmental impacts.

Preliminary Design Alternatives for roadway cross section and intersection treatments have been generated for review and comment at PIC \#5. The Preliminary Design Alternatives will be comparatively evaluated following PIC \#5 to select the preferred Preliminary Design Alternative.

Once the roadway cross section and intersection treatments are defined, Preliminary Design plans detailing the items noted on the previous panel will be generated and presented at PIC \#6 for public review and comment.

## Photo Examples of Highway Cross Section Alternatives



## Photo Examples of Crossing Road Intersection Treatment Alternatives



## Role and Function of Highway $7 \& 8$

## AECOM

Highway $7 \& 8$ is a significant part of the overall provincial highway network. It plays a key role in linking larger communities and supporting economic prosperity across Ontario. The task of managing a sustainable provincial highway network includes planning for the future.

Roads are categorized on the basis of traffic mobility and land access which aids in the selection of required geometric design parameters for a roadway.

Highway 7\&8 is classified as a Rural Arterial Highway with a Class III Special Controlled Access designation under the Public Transportation and Highway Improvement Act. The future plan for Highway $7 \& 8$ will maintain the current functional classification.

For a Rural Arterial Highway, the selected design criteria must achieve the following objectives:

- Accommodation of long distance / inter-regional traffic and local traffic needs
- High emphasis on efficient operations and public safety
- High level of uninterrupted traffic flow
- Number of access points (i.e. road connections and entrances) minimized and/or controlled to maintain long-term efficiencies
- Consistent arrangement of geometric design features that reinforce driver's confidence and expectancy


## Guiding Principles for Generation of Preliminary Design Alternatives

## AECOM

Preliminary Design Alternatives for the cross section and crossing road intersection treatments have been generated according to a set of Guiding Principles which were developed in consideration of key study area issues and concerns. The Guiding Principles include:

- Address study purpose to provide adequate long-term transportation capacity, and improved highway operation and safety
- Comply with applicable provincial highway safety and design standards for the functional classification of Highway 7\&8
- Retain north/south connectivity for agricultural businesses and other local users along all major crossing roads
- Provide direct access from the new highway route to Shakespeare via Road 107 (all moves)
- Improve long-term access to New Hamburg and retain good access to properties in Stratford along the selected route
- Grade separate the highway at railway crossings
- Protect long-term operation along the new route segment east of Road 110 to west of Road 106 by prohibiting private entrances


## Preliminary Design Alternatives

## AECOM

The study area was divided into eight segments as shown on the plan below for the development of Preliminary Design Alternatives.


## Shakespeare North Bypass Route Alternative

## AECOM



Bypass route, from east of Road 110 to west of Road 106

- In response to municipal stakeholder input, a route alternative that uses existing Highway $7 \& 8$ west of Shakespeare, via a northern bypass of Shakespeare, and which connects to Lorne Avenue via a segment of Road 110 is being examined in greater detail.
- For both the north bypass route and the previously selected south bypass route, a range of roadway cross-section and crossing road treatment Preliminary Design Alternatives have been generated and will be evaluated following PIC \#5 to identify a preferred Preliminary Design Alternative and Recommended Plan for the entire study area.


## Segment A Alternatives

## AECOM



## Cross Section Alternatives

For Highway 8 and Road 125
A1 / A2 / A3: Two lanes
A4 / A5 / A6: Two lanes, 5 m continuous two-way centre left-turn lane

For Perth Line 32
A1 / A2 / A3 / A4 / A5 / A6: Two lanes

| Crossing Road | Alternative Intersection Treatments |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | signalized Intersection | signalized Intersection <br> with Channelization | Roundabout | Unsignalized Intersection <br> (stop signs on crossing <br> roads) |
|  | A1 / A4 | A2 / A5 | A3 / A6 | Not Applicable |
| Road 125 / Perth Line 32 | A1 / A4 | A2 / A5 | A3 / A6 | Not Applicable |
| O'Loane Avenue | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | A3 / A6 | A1 / A2 / A4 / A5 |

## Segment B Alternatives

## AECOM

## Cross Section Alternatives



B1: Two lanes
B2: Two lanes, 5 m continuous two-way centre left-turn lane

| Crossing Road | Alternative Intersection Treatments |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unsignalized Intersection | Cul-de-sac |
| Freeland Drive | B1 / B2 | Not Applicable |
| Queensland Road | B1 / B2 | Not Applicable |
| Wright Boulevard | B1 / B2 | Not Applicable |
| St. Vincent Street | B1 / B2 | Not Applicable |
| Monteith Avenue | Not Applicable | B1 / B2 |
| Linton Avenue | Not Applicable | B1 / B2 |

## Segment C Alternatives

## AECOM

## Cross Section Alternatives



Lorne Avenue, from west of Erie
Street to east of east limit of Stratford, including Erie Street

C1 / C2: Four lanes, continuous two-way centre left-turn lane C3: Four lanes, 5 m raised median

| Crossing Road | Alternative Intersection Treatments |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized Intersection | Roundabout |
| Erie Street / Lorne <br> Avenue | C 1 | $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 3$ |
| Downie Street / Lorne <br> Avenue | C 1 | $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 3$ |
| Romeo Street / Lorne <br> Avenue | C 1 | $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 3$ |
| Embro Road / Erie <br> Street | C 1 | $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 3$ |
| Line 29 / Erie Street | C 1 | $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 3$ |

## Segment D Alternatives

## Connection to South Bypass of Shakespeare



## Cross Section Alternative

D1 / D2: Four lanes, continuous two-way centre left-turn lane

| Crossing Road | Alternative Intersection <br> Treatments |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized <br> Intersection | Roundabout |
| Road 111 | D1 | D2 |
| Road 110 / Perth Line <br> 33 Connection | D1 | D2 |

Line 33, from east of east limit of Stratford to east of Road 110

## Segment D Alternatives

## Connection to North Bypass of Shakespeare



Line 33, from east of east limit of Stratford to east of Road 110

## Cross Section Alternative

D3 / D4: Four lanes, continuous two-way centre left-turn lane

| Crossing Road | Alternative Intersection <br> Treatments |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized <br> Intersection | Roundabout |
| Road 111 | D3 | D4 |
| Road 110 / Perth <br> Line 33 Connection | D3 | D4 |
| Existing Highway <br> $7 \& 8$ Connection | D3 | D4 |

## Segment E Alternatives South Bypass of Shakespeare

## AECOM

## Cross Section Alternative

E1 / E2 / E3: Four lanes, 7 m median



Bypass route, from east of Road 110 to west of Road 106

| Crossing Road | Alternative Intersection Treatments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized Intersection | Signalized Intersection <br> With Channelization | Roundabout | Unsignalized <br> Intersection stop signs <br> on crossing roads) | Grade Separation <br> (no highway access) | Cul-de-sac / <br> Westbound Slip Lane |
|  | E1 | E2 | E3 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Road 109 and Existing <br> Highway 7\&8 | E1 | E2 | E3 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Road 108 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | E1 / E2 / E3 | Not Applicable |
| Road 107 | E1 / E2 | Not Applicable | E3 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Connection to Existing <br> Highway 7\&8 east of <br> Shakespeare | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | E1 / E2 / E3 |
| Road 106 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | E1 | E2 / E3 | Not Applicable |

## Segment E Alternatives North Bypass of Shakespeare

## AECOM

## Cross Section Alternative

E4 / E5: Four lanes, 7 m median for new alignment section and continuous two-way centre left-turn lane for existing alignment section


Bypass route, from east of Road 110 to west of Road 106

| Crossing Road | Alternative Intersection Treatments |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized Intersection | Roundabout | Unsignalized Intersection (stop signs on crossing roads) | Grade Separation (no highway access) |  |
| Road 109 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | E4 | E5 | Not Applicable |
| Road 108 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | E4 | E5 | Not Applicable |
| Connection to Existing Highway 7\&8 west of Shakespeare | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | E4 / E5 |
| Road 107 | E4 | E5 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Connection to Existing Highway 7\&8 east of Shakespeare | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | E4 / E5 |
| Road 106 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | E4 | E5 | Not Applicable |

## Segment F Alternatives

## AECOM



## Cross Section Alternative

F1 / F2: Four lanes, continuous two-way centre left-turn lane

Highway 7\&8 from east of Road 106 to west of Regional Road 1

| Crossing Road | Alternative Intersection Treatments |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unsignalized Intersection <br> (stop signs on crossing road) | Grade Separation <br> (no highway access) |
| Road 104 | F1 | F2 |
| Road 102 | F2 | F1 |

## Segment G Alternatives

## AECOM

Highway 7\&8 from west of Regional Road 1 to west of Nafziger Road


## Cross Section Alternatives

G1: Four lanes, with six lanes from west of Peel Street to east of Hamilton Street, 7 m median

G2: Four lanes, 7 m median

| Crossing <br> Road | Alternative Intersection Treatments |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized Intersection | Unsignalized Intersection <br> (right in, right out) | Diamond / Buttonhook <br> interchange Configuration | Parclo B2 / Buttonhook <br> Interchange Configuration | Cul-de-sac |
| Regional Road 1 | G1 / G2 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Walker Road | Not Applicable | G1 / G2 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Peel Street / <br> Haysville Road | G1 | Not Applicable | G2 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Victoria Street | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | G1 / G2 |
| Hamilton Street / <br> Bleams Road | G1 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | G2 | Not Applicable |

## Segment H Alternatives

## AECOM



# Cross Section Alternative 

H1 / H2 / H3: Four lanes, 7 m median

Highway 7\&8 from west of Nafziger Road to east of Nafziger Road

| Crossing Road | Alternative Intersection Treatments |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Diamond Interchange <br> Configuration | Parclo A2 Interchange <br> Configuration | Parclo B2 Interchange <br> Configuration |
| Nafziger Road | H1 | H2 | H3 |

## Process Overview for Assessment and Evaluation of Preliminary Design Alternatives

## AECOM

Preliminary Design Alternatives will be evaluated using a broad range of factors, sub-factors, criteria and indicators (further details provided in documentation at reference table):

- Four (4) Factor Groups: Natural Environment, Land Use / Socio-economic Environment, Cultural Environment, Transportation
- Refined Sub-Factors (twenty-three (23) available)
- Refined Criteria (sixty-nine (69) available; only 'decision relevant' criteria will be included (e.g. evaluate based on constraints present))
- Multiple Indicators for each criterion

Preliminary Design Alternatives will be evaluated using the Reasoned Argument Method:

- Presents a clear and thorough presentation of the trade offs between various evaluation factors, sub-factors, criteria and indicators
- Preferred alternative east of Stratford is dependent in part on preferred alternative for Shakespeare area

Identify Preferred Preliminary Design Alternative and Recommended Plan (Report K)

## Proposed Evaluation Criteria by Segment

## AECOM

| Highway 78 \& Transportation Corridor Planning and Class EA StudyEvaluation of Preliminary Design Alternatives |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crieria | Proelminary Dosign Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | $c$ | - | E | F | - | н |
|  | $v=$ Applied |  |  | $x=$ Nat Appled |  |  |  |  |
| 1. NATURAL ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 Fisheries and Aquatic Ecosystems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 .1 .1 Fanh habat | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1.12 Fah Communty | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1.2 Terrestrial Ecosyztems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 whate | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1.22 Wetands | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1.23 Forests | $\stackrel{\text { d }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1.24 vegestason | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ |
| 125 Designted Special Areas | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 1.3 Groundwater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.3 .1 Aeess of Grund water Rectrage and Disctarge | , | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1.32 Gronnownet Source Aeess and Wellread Protecton Areas | , | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ |
| 133 Large Volure Wells | $\stackrel{\square}{+}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 13.3 Pavate Wells | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1.35 Groundwater-Dependent Commercial Enterprises (e.g. water botting operations) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x |
| 1.3.6 Groundwater-Sensitive Ecosysterns <br> (eg groundwater fed wetiands, coldwater streams) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $*$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1.4 Surface Witer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.41 Wetershed / Sub-Watershed Carinago Featurespatems | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
|  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 2. LAND USE / SOCIO-ECONOMC FACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Land Use Planning Poilcies, Goals, OJjectives |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21.1 Frist Naboons Land Claims | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ |
| 212 Provincall Feceral and use plaming polices gasiscobectives | $\times$ | $\times$ | ${ }^{x}$ | $\times$ | x | x | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 213 Municipal (regional and lccal) land use planning policiesspoals/ objectives (Oficial Pians) | x | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 21.4 Developmert Cojectives 1 Pivate Properfy Owners | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 22 Land Use/ Communily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 221 first Natoon Reseeves | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 222 First Natoms Socred Grounds | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 223 Utan and Rural Resicorerial | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 224 Commectal / l austral | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ |
| 2.5 Tourist Areas and Attractions (e.g museums, theatreb, etc.) | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 2.26 Community Facilites / Instoutions <br> (eg hospitais, schools, places of worship, unique community features) | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 2.27 Municipal intrastructure and Public Service Facilies (e.g sewage and water services, policelemergency services, local (tillies) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 228 Dommtown Histaric Crosstacas Findion | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 23 Noise Sensitive Areas (NSAS) (retidertaia reas and semative instutiond ( ses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23.1 Hgtway Noise | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ |
| 232 Constuction Noise | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 24 Agrikulture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 24. Agiculure - Famm infastuture | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 243 Agicuture - Operatons on indidual Farms | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 244 Agicuture -Transportaton Lintages between irtegated Agricultural Besiness Unts | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 25 Land Use / Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.1 First Nations' Treaty Rights or Use of Land and Resources for Traditional Purposes (eg. hunting, fishing, harvesting of country foods, havesting of medicinal plarts) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ |
| 25.2 Parks and Recreational Areas <br> (eg national/ provincial parks, conservation areas, municipal parks pubic spaces, golf courses, trails, greenways and open space linkages) | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 253 Agyegtes, Mineral Resources | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ | $\times$ | x |
| 2.6 Major Utility Transmission Corridors (e.g railroads, hydro, gas, oil) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ |


| Highway 788 Transportation Corridor Planning and Class EA StudyEvaluation of Preliminary Design Alternatives Evaluation of Preliminary Design Alternatives |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crineria | Proiliminary Design Sogment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | c | - | E | $\square$ | - | н |
|  | $v=$ Apsted |  |  | $x=$ Nat Acpried |  |  |  |  |
| 2.7 Contaminated Property and Waste Management <br> (eg Lancris, Hazardous Waste Sites, Brownikild Areas <br> Areas other known | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 2.8Landscape Compostion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.1 Scenic Compostion (botal aesthetco value of lindscape componerts) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 282 Senstse Vewer Grups | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 283 Scenice value of vemskistas trom the transoctaito facily | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 28.45 Ppocimen Trees | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 2.9 Air Qualty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.1 Local and Regional Air Quality <br> (Total contaminart and greenhouse gas emissions) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 292 Sensteve receptors to ar poltarats and greenhouse gas | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 3. CULTURAL ENVRONMENTAL FACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 Cutural Heritige - Built Heelitge and Cuitural Landscepes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31.1 Buildings or 'Standirg' Sites of Archtectural or Hentage <br> Signicance or Ontanio Hertage Foundation Easement Propertie | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | x | $\checkmark$ | x |
| 312 Hemtag E Bioges | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\times$ | x |
| 3.13 Aress of tistocic 190 Certuy Seltemert | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 31.4 Cuitruil Hentage Landscapes (ollection di indivioual manmase festures mostrying pristee lanoccape) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 31.5 Firs Nators 'Burial Stes | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 3.1 .6 Cemeteries | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | x | $\times$ | x |
| 3.2 Culutural Heritage - Archaoology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 321 Pretistoic and histacio Finst Mstions Stes | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 322 Historic Euro Caraden Actheoclogcal Stes | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 4. AREA ECONOMN - Previousty yddressod during Needs Assessment Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. TRANSPORTATION FActors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 Area Transportation System Coppacty ynd Emiciency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1.1 Federal/ Provincial/Municipal transportation planning policies/goals/ objectives | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 5.12 Encoert moverento of people | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 5.13 Enciert movementot pooss | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 56.2 Area Transportation System Reliability / Reduudancy | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 5.3 sotety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53.1 Tratio Sater | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 532 Enespency Access | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 533 Pedestrian Cycistan and Snowmoble Satety wiltin the igigway rigtt-otway | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 5.4 mobility and Accossibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 541 Modal ittegraton, balanco and emiciency | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 542 Unibgos to Popustion and Emplofment Centies | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 543 Recreation and Tousm Travel | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 5.5 Neemork Compatibilicy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55.1 Nemack Comeatuy | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 552 Fexerblyy for Futue Eppenson | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 5.6Engineeting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56.1 Cosesturatabily | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 562 Complance weth Design Chinera | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| ${ }^{5} .7$ Trafic Operations | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 5.8 Construction Cost (excludes property costs and engineering costs) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Property Acquisition

## AECOM

- If you own property that is potentially impacted by the Preliminary Design Alternatives please:
- Make sure you are on our mailing list before you leave the PIC
- Discuss with a member of the study team what the potential impacts are to your property and what the MTO's process is for purchasing property once the requirements are confirmed
- Individual property requirements will be confirmed through the completion of Preliminary Design Phase
- Discussions to purchase property will commence with impacted property owners prior to construction
- Compensation is based on the market value of your property, or the loss in market value to your property in the case of a partial acquisition
- Market value is determined by a property appraiser that will provide an opinion of value based on market evidence


## Next Steps

## AECOM

Following this PIC, the Study Team will:

- Respond to comments received through the PIC \#5 consultation process
- Refine and confirm Preliminary Design Alternatives and evaluation criteria for each segment of the study corridor, as applicable
- Assess and select preferred Preliminary Design Alternative for each segment and develop the Recommended Plan for the entire study area
- Prepare for PIC \#6 (Spring, 2013)
- Prepare the Transportation Environmental Study Report (TESR) and file it for public review in late 2013


## Get Involved ... Be Involved ...Stay Involved ...

## AECOM

## Thank you for participating in tonight's PIC.

Your comments are important to us. The following options are available:

- Place your Comment Sheet in the box provided tonight or submit to the Study Team by September 28, 2012.
- Mail a letter (Highway 7\&8 Corridor Study c/o AECOM, 300 Water Street, Whitby, ON L1N 9J2) or send a fax (905-668-0221).
- Phone the Study Team toll free at 1-866-921-9268.
- E-mail the Study Team through the Website at www.7and8corridorstudy.ca


## All comments are requested by

September 28, 2012

