Screening Results – Section 3: Long List of Alternatives from East of Stratford to West of New Hamburg

			CORRIDOR SCREENING					
		Corridor Description	Existing Corridor	North By-Pass Corridor	South By-Pass Corridor			
	_	Corridor Length	12.3 km	13.0 km	12.5 km			
	Corridor Description	Кеу Мар						
Screening Criteria	Natural Environment Factors	Terrestrial Ecosystems: Minimize direct loss of PSWs, ANSIs, ESAs and core woodlots	 No corridor segments within PSWs, ANSIs, ESAs No corridor segments within core woodlots 	 No corridor segments within PSWs, ANSIs, ESAs No corridor segments within core woodlots 	 No corridor segments within PSWs, ANSIs, ESAs No corridor segments within core woodlots 			
		Fisheries and Aquatic Ecosystems, Surface Water: Minimize number of stream crossings	7 stream crossings at existing crossing locations	9 stream crossings, 4 at existing crossing locations	8 stream crossings, 3 at existing crossing locations			
	Socio- ctors	Land Use - Resources: Minimize loss of Canada Land Inventory Class 1,2,3 agricultural land	 Least loss of agricultural lands; primarily utilizes existing corridor 	Portion of corridor within agricultural lands	Portion of corridor within agricultural lands; utilizes lands previously disturbed adjacent to railway corridor			
	Land Use and S Economic Fac	Land Use Planning Policies, Goals, Objectives: Minimize loss of approved development lands	 Portion of corridor within planned development areas but primarily utilizes existing corridor 	Portion of corridor within planned development areas	No corridor segment within planned development areas			
		Land Use - Community, Industry: Minimize removal of existing development	 Portion of corridor within existing development area (Shakespeare); utilizes existing corridor but will require removal of some existing development adjacent to existing corridor 	 Portion of corridor within existing development area (Shakespeare); outside Shakespeare, utilizes existing corridor but will require removal of some existing development adjacent to existing corridor 	Primarily utilizes existing corridor but will require removal of some existing development adjacent to existing corridor			
	Cultural Environmental Factors	Built Heritage: Minimize loss of heritage buildings	 Several heritage buildings potentially impacted, including Fryfogel Inn 	Several heritage buildings potentially impacted	Several heritage buildings potentially impacted, including Fryfogel Inn			
		Cultural Heritage Landscapes: Minimize loss of amenities in heritage downtown areas	 Significant loss of amenities in heritage downtown areas (e.g. on-street parking; sidewalks; etc.) 	 No loss of amenities in heritage downtown areas 	No loss of amenities in heritage downtown areas			
	Transportation Factors	Network Connectivity: Minimize out of way travel	Direct corridor, with no out of way travel	Relatively direct corridor, with limited out of way travel	Relatively direct corridor, with limited out of way travel			
		Mobility and Accessibility: Proximity of corridor to population centres	Corridor situated close to population centres	Corridor situated relatively close to population centres	Corridor situated relatively close to population centres			
	<i>(</i>)	Recommendation	CARRY FORWARD	DO NOT CARRY FORWARD	CARRY FORWARD			
	Screening Results	Rationale	 No corridor segments within PSWs, ANSIs, ESAs, core woodlots Fewer stream crossings; utilizes existing crossing locations Least loss of agricultural lands No out of way travel Situated close to population centres 	 Higher number of stream crossings Moderate loss of agricultural lands Higher potential effects on existing and planned development areas 	 Fewer stream crossings; utilizes several existing crossing locations Minor loss of agricultural lands; utilizes lands previously disturbed adjacent to railway corridor Lower potential effects on existing development; no effects on planned development Limited out of way travel Situated close to population centres 			

Screening Results – Section 3: Long List of Alternatives from East of Stratford to West of New Hamburg

			CORRIDOR SCREENING				
		Corridor Description	North Corridor	South Corridor 1	South Corridor 2	South Corridor 3	
	_	Corridor Length	12.3 km	12.3 km	12.5 km	12.7 km	
	Corridor Description	Кеу Мар					
	Natural Environment Factors	Terrestrial Ecosystems: Minimize direct loss of PSWs, ANSIs, ESAs and core woodlots	 No corridor segments within PSWs, ANSIs, ESAs No corridor segments within core woodlots 	 No corridor segments within PSWs, ANSIs, ESAs Two corridor segments within core woodlots 	 No corridor segments within PSWs, ANSIs, ESAs Five corridor segments within core woodlots 	 No corridor segments within PSWs, ANSIs, ESAs Five corridor segments within core woodlots 	
		Fisheries and Aquatic Ecosystems, Surface Water: Minimize number of stream crossings	6 stream crossings	6 stream crossings	12 stream crossings	14 stream crossings	
	Socio- ctors	Land Use - Resources: Minimize loss of Canada Land Inventory Class 1,2,3 agricultural land	Majority of corridor within agricultural lands	 Majority of corridor within agricultural lands; utilizes lands previously disturbed adjacent to railway corridor 	Majority of corridor within agricultural lands	Majority of corridor within agricultural lands	
Screening Criteria	Land Use and S Economic Fac	Land Use Planning Policies, Goals, Objectives: Minimize loss of approved development lands	 No corridor segment within planned development area 	 No corridor segment within planned development area 	 No corridor segment within planned development area 	No corridor segment within planned development area	
		Land Use - Community, Industry: Minimize removal of existing development	 No corridor segment within existing development area but may displace individual residential buildings and farm buildings 	 No corridor segment within existing development area but may displace individual residential buildings and farm buildings 	 No corridor segment within existing development area but may displace individual residential buildings and farm buildings 	No corridor segment within existing development area but may displace individual residential buildings and farm buildings	
	Cultural Environmental Factors	Built Heritage: Minimize loss of heritage buildings	Minimal impact to heritage buildings	Minimal impact to heritage buildings	Minimal impact to heritage buildings	Minimal impact to heritage buildings	
		Cultural Heritage Landscapes: Minimize loss of amenities in heritage downtown areas	No loss of amenities in heritage downtown areas	No loss of amenities in heritage downtown areas	No loss of amenities in heritage downtown areas	No loss of amenities in heritage downtown areas	
	Transportation Factors	Network Connectivity: Minimize out of way travel	Relatively direct corridor, with some out of way travel depending upon destination	Relatively direct corridor, with limited out of way travel	Relatively direct corridor, with some out of way travel depending upon destination	Relatively direct corridor, with some out of way travel depending upon destination	
		Mobility and Accessibility: Proximity of corridor to population centres	Corridor situated relatively close to population centres	Corridor situated relatively close to population centres	Corridor situated farther from population centres	Corridor situated farther from population centres	
		Recommendation	DO NOT CARRY FORWARD	CARRY FORWARD	DO NOT CARRY FORWARD	DO NOT CARRY FORWARD	
	Screening Results	Rationale	 Greater loss of agricultural lands Higher potential effects on existing and planned development areas 	 Fewer stream crossings Moderate loss of agricultural lands; utilizes lands previously disturbed adjacent to railway corridor Lower potential effects on existing development; no effects on planned development Minimal impact to heritage buildings Limited out of way travel; situated close to population centres 	 Five corridor segments within core woodlots Higher number of stream crossings Greater loss of agricultural lands Some out of way travel Situated farther from population centres 	 Five corridor segments within core woodlots Higher number of stream crossings Greater loss of agricultural lands Some out of way travel Situated farther from population centres 	